ℓ_1 -Regularized Linear Regression: Persistence and Oracle Inequalities

Peter Bartlett EECS and Statistics UC Berkeley

slides at http://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~bartlett

Joint work with Shahar Mendelson and Joe Neeman.

- ▶ Random pair: $(X, Y) \sim P$, in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ *n* independent samples drawn from *P*: $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$.
- ▶ Find β so linear function $\langle X, \beta \rangle$ has small risk,

$$P\ell_{\beta} = P(\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$$
.

Here, $\ell_{\beta}(X, Y) = (\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$ is the quadratic loss of the linear prediction.

- ▶ Random pair: $(X, Y) \sim P$, in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ *n* independent samples drawn from *P*: $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$.
- ▶ Find β so linear function $\langle X, \beta \rangle$ has small risk,

$$P\ell_{\beta} = P(\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$$
.

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(P_{\textit{n}} \ell_{\beta} + \rho_{\textit{n}} \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \right),$$

where
$$P_n\ell_\beta=rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(\langle X_i,eta
angle-Y_i
ight)^2, ext{ and } \|eta\|_{\ell_1^d}=\sum_{j=1}^d|eta_j|.$$

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta} &= \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \right), \\ \text{where } P_n \ell_\beta &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\langle X_i, \beta \rangle - Y_i \right)^2, \text{ and } \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} = \sum_{j=1}^d |\beta_j|. \end{split}$$

- ▶ Tends to select sparse solutions (few non-zero components β_i).
- ▶ Useful, for example, if $d \gg n$.

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \right),$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{eta} = \arg\min_{\|eta\|_{\ell_{\mathbf{1}}^{q}} \leq b_{n}} P_{n}\ell_{eta}.$$

[Recall:
$$\ell_{\beta}(X, Y) = (\langle X, \beta \rangle - Y)^2$$
.]

ℓ₁-regularized linear regression

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \right),$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{\alpha}} \le b_n} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

Some questions:

▶ **Prediction:** Does $\hat{\beta}$ give accurate forecasts? e.g., How does $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}}$ compare with $P\ell_{\beta^*}$?

Here,
$$eta^* = \arg\min\left\{ extstyle{P}\ell_eta: \|eta\|_{\ell^d_1} \leq b_n
ight\}$$
 .

Example. ℓ_1 -regularized least squares:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(P_n \ell_\beta + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \right),$$

Example. ℓ_1 -constrained least squares:

$$\hat{eta} = \arg\min_{\|eta\|_{\ell_1^{ec{a}}} \leq b_n} P_n \ell_{eta}.$$

Some questions:

- ▶ Does $\hat{\beta}$ give accurate forecasts? e.g., $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}}$ versus $P\ell_{\beta^*} = \min \Big\{ P\ell_{\beta} : \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d} \le b_n \Big\}$?
- ▶ **Estimation:** Under assumptions on P, is $\hat{\beta} \approx$ correct?
- ▶ Sparsity Pattern Estimation: Under assumptions on P, are the non-zeros of $\hat{\beta}$ correct?



Outline of Talk

- 1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, bounds on $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} P\ell_{\beta^*}$.
 - Persistence: (Greenshtein and Ritov, 2004) For what $d_n,b_n\to\infty$ does $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}}-P\ell_{\beta^*}\to 0$?
 - ► Convex Aggregation: (Tsybakov, 2003) For b=1 (convex combinations of dictionary functions), what is rate of $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} P\ell_{\beta^*}$?
- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas.

Key Issue: ℓ_{β} is unbounded, so some key tools (e.g., concentration inequalities) cannot immediately be applied.

- ▶ For (X, Y) bounded, ℓ_{β} can be bounded using $\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^d}$, but this gives loose prediction bounds.
- ▶ We use chaining to show that metric structures of ℓ_1 -constrained linear functions under P_n and P are similar.

Main Results: Excess Risk

For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{\boldsymbol{q}}} \leq \boldsymbol{b}} P_{\boldsymbol{n}} \ell_{\beta},$$

if X and Y have suitable tail behaviour then, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{\alpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min\left(\frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).$$

- ▶ Small d regime: d/n.
- ▶ Large *d* regime: b/\sqrt{n} .

Main Results: Excess Risk

For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, with probability $1 - \delta$,

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{\alpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min\left(\frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).$$

Conditions:

- 1. PY^2 is bounded by a constant.
- 2. $\blacktriangleright \|X\|_{\infty}$ bounded a.s.,
 - ▶ X log concave and $\max_i \|\langle X, e_i \rangle\|_{L_2} \leq c$, or
 - X log concave and isotropic.

Application: Persistence

Consider ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{\sigma}} \le b} P_n \ell_{\beta}.$$

Suppose that PY^2 is bounded by a constant and tails of X decay nicely (e.g., $||X||_{\infty}$ bounded a.s. or X log concave and isotropic).

Then for increasing d_n and

$$b_n = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^{3/2} n \log^{3/2} (nd_n)}\right),\,$$

 ℓ_1 -constrained least squares is persistent (i.e., $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \to 0$).

Application: Persistence

If PY^2 is bounded and tails of X decay nicely, then ℓ_1 -constrained least squares is persistent provided that d_n is increasing and

$$b_n = o\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^{3/2} n \log^{3/2} (nd_n)}\right).$$

Previous Results (Greenshtein and Ritov, 2004):

- 1. $b_n = \omega(n^{1/2}/\log^{1/2} n)$ implies empirical minimization is not persistent for Gaussian (X, Y).
- 2. $b_n = o(n^{1/2}/\log^{1/2} n)$ implies empirical minimization is persistent for Gaussian (X, Y).
- 3. $b_n = o(n^{1/4}/\log^{1/4} n)$ implies empirical minimization is persistent under tail conditions on (X, Y).

Application: Convex Aggregation

Consider b = 1, so that the ℓ_1 -ball of radius b is the convex hull of a dictionary of d functions (the components of X).

Tsybakov (2003) showed that, for any aggregation scheme $\hat{\beta}$, the rate of convex aggregation satisfies

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} = \Omega\left(\min\left(\frac{d}{n}, \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{n}}\right)\right).$$

For bounded, isotropic distributions, our result implies that this rate can be achieved, up to log factors, by least squares over the convex hull of the dictionary.

Previous positive results (Tsybakov, 2003; Bunea, Tsybakov and Wegkamp, 2006) involved complicated estimators.

Main Results: Oracle Inequality

For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} \left(P_n \ell_{\beta} + \rho_n \|\beta\|_{\ell_1^{d_n}} \right),$$

if

- ▶ d_n increases but log $d_n = o(n)$, and
- ▶ Y and $||X||_{\infty}$ bounded a.s.,

then with probability at least 1 - o(1),

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} \leq \inf_{\beta} \left(P\ell_{\beta} + c\rho_{n} \left(1 + \|\beta\|_{\ell_{1}^{d_{n}}} \right) \right),$$

where

$$\rho_n = \frac{c \log^{3/2} n \log^{1/2}(d_n n)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Outline of Talk

- 1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares, bounds on $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} P\ell_{\beta^*}$.
 - ▶ Persistence: For what $d_n, b_n \to \infty$ does $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \to 0$?
 - ► Convex Aggregation: For b=1 (convex combinations of dictionary functions), what is rate of $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*}$?
- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas.

Proof Ideas: 1. ϵ -equivalence of P and P_n structures

Define

$$G_{\lambda} = \left\{ rac{\lambda}{P(\ell_{eta} - \ell_{eta^*})} (\ell_{eta} - \ell_{eta^*}) : P(\ell_{eta} - \ell_{eta^*}) \geq \lambda
ight\}.$$

Then:

 $\mathbf{E} \sup_{g \in G_{\lambda}} |P_n g - P g|$ is small

 \Rightarrow with high probability, for all β with $P(\ell_{\beta} - \ell_{\beta^*}) \geq \lambda$,

$$(1-\epsilon)P(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*}) \leq P_n(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*}) \leq (1+\epsilon)P(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*})$$

 $\Rightarrow P(\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - \ell_{\beta^*}) \leq \lambda$, where $\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} P_n \ell_{\beta}$.

Proof Ideas: 2. Symmetrization, subgaussian tails

For a class of functions F,

$$\mathbf{E}\sup_{f\in F}|P_nf-Pf|\leq \frac{2}{n}\mathbf{E}\sup_{f\in F}\left|\sum_i\epsilon_if(X_i)\right|,$$

where ϵ_i are independent Rademacher (± 1) random variables. (Giné and Zinn, 1984)

The Rademacher process

$$Z_{\beta} = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \left(\ell_{\beta}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) - \ell_{\beta^{*}}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) \right)$$

indexed by β in

$$T_{\lambda} = \left\{ eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : \|eta\|_{\ell_{1}^{d}} \leq b, \ P\ell_{\beta} - P\ell_{\beta^{*}} \leq \lambda
ight\}$$

is **subgaussian** wrt a certain metric *d*. That is, for every $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in T_\lambda$ and $t \ge 1$,

$$\Pr(|Z_{\beta_1} - Z_{\beta_2}| \ge td(\beta_1, \beta_2)) \le 2 \exp(-t^2/2).$$



Proof Ideas: 2. Symmetrization, subgaussian tails

The Rademacher process

$$Z_{\beta} = \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \left(\ell_{\beta}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) - \ell_{\beta^{*}}(X_{i}, Y_{i}) \right)$$

indexed by β in

$$T_{\lambda} = \left\{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : \|\beta\|_{\ell_{1}^{d}} \leq b, \ P\ell_{\beta} - P\ell_{\beta^{*}} \leq \lambda \right\}$$

is subgaussian wrt the metric

$$\begin{split} d(\beta_1,\beta_2) &= 4 \max_i |\langle X_i,\beta_1 - \beta_2 \rangle| \\ &\times \sup_{v \in \sqrt{\lambda}D \cap 2T} \left(\sum_i \langle X_i,v \rangle^2 + \sum_i \ell_{\beta^*}(X_i,Y_i) \right). \end{split}$$

This is a random ℓ_{∞} distance, scaled by the random ℓ_2 diameter of $\sqrt{\lambda}D\cap 2T$.

Here, $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : P|\langle X, x \rangle|^2 \le 1\}.$



Proof Ideas: 3. Chaining

For a subgaussian process $\{Z_t\}$ indexed by a metric space (T,d), and for $t_0 \in T$,

$$\label{eq:energy_equation} \textbf{E}\sup_{t\in T}|Z_t-Z_{t_0}| \leq c\mathcal{D}(T,d) = c\int_0^{\operatorname{diam}(T,d)} \sqrt{\log N(\epsilon,T,d)}\,d\epsilon,$$

where $N(\epsilon, T, d)$ is the ϵ covering number of T.

Proof Ideas: 4. Bounding the Entropy Integral

It suffices to calculate the entropy integral $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda}D\cap 2bB_1^d, d)$. We can approximate this by

$$\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda}D\cap 2bB_1^d,d)\leq \min\left(\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\lambda}D,d),\mathcal{D}(2bB_1^d,d)\right).$$

This leads to:

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{lpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min\left(\frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).$$



Proof Ideas: 5. Oracle Inequalities

We get an isomorphic condition on $\{\ell_{\beta} - \ell_{\beta^*}\}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}P_n(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*})-\epsilon_n\leq P(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*})\leq 2P_n(\ell_{\beta}-\ell_{\beta^*})+\epsilon_n,$$

and this implies that $\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} (P_n \ell_{\beta} + c \epsilon_n)$ has

$$P\ell_{\beta} \leq \inf_{\beta} \left(P\ell_{\beta} + c'\epsilon_{n} \right).$$

This leads to oracle inequality: For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares,

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} \left(P_{n} \ell_{\beta} + \rho_{n} \|\beta\|_{\ell_{1}^{d_{n}}} \right),$$

with probability at least 1 - o(1),

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} \leq \inf_{\beta} \left(P\ell_{\beta} + c\rho_{n} \left(1 + \left\| \beta \right\|_{\ell_{1}^{d_{n}}} \right) \right).$$



Outline of Talk

1. For ℓ_1 -constrained least squares,

$$P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} - P\ell_{\beta^*} \leq \frac{c \log^{\alpha}(nd)}{\delta^2} \min\left(\frac{b^2}{n} + \frac{d}{n}, \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\left(1 + \frac{b}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).$$

- Persistence: If $b_n = \tilde{o}(\sqrt{n})$, then $P\ell_{\hat{\beta}} P\ell_{\beta^*} \to 0$.
- ► Convex Aggregation: Empirical risk minimization gives optimal rate (up to log factors): $\tilde{O}\left(\min(d/n, \sqrt{\log d/n})\right)$.
- 2. For ℓ_1 -regularized least squares, oracle inequalities.
- 3. Proof ideas: subgaussian Rademacher process.